

Appendix 5 Objections Received

As set out in section 4.2 of the Delegated Officer Decision Report:

Five objections to the proposal were received.

In summary, 4 of the objections were from people who worked at local businesses (3 at the same business) and wanted the waiting restrictions reduced so they could continue to park on Brecks Lane, close to their place of work. The fifth objection wanted the waiting restrictions extending further down Brecks Lane on the right side to prevent motorists obscuring the line of sight when exiting from Butterfly Nook on to Brecks Lane.

Objections Received	Officer Response
<p>With reference to the above proposed changes to parking restrictions for Brecks Lane we would ask you to consider increasing the length of the restrictions to the start of Butterfly Nook and the driveway of the first house on the opposite side of Brecks Lane.</p> <p>The reason I ask this is that even before the restrictions have been implemented we have many times been affected by inconsiderate parking. People will park to the very edge of Butterfly Nook, so when trying to turn right onto Brecks Lane becomes a serious hazard, and the speed that many come off the dual carriageway down Brecks Lane has also caused many scary moments of near misses and verbal abuse from the drivers coming off the main road. In addition to this with vehicles parked on the opposite side of Brecks Lane means you have to be in the middle of the road to enable you to turn up Butterfly Nook, so if we have cars on both sides of the road and a vehicle doesn't give way because they don't realise you are trying to turn right the road can be blocked and this can and has also led to abuse</p> <p>Both properties on Butterfly Nook have long wheelbase vehicles and have been forced to turn right down Brecks Lane when cars have been parked too close to the entrance and on both sides of the road, which will be even more likely once the proposed changes have been implemented.</p>	<p>Consideration was given to extending the waiting restrictions along Brecks Lane to Butterfly Nook, but this would result in a reduction in available parking and the displacement of vehicles further down Brecks Lane. Also the cancellation of the legal process would result in the Council starting the TRO process again incurring further costs and a delay of 9-12 months in the delivery of the revised scheme.</p> <p>The revised proposal now includes a white 'H' marking being placed across the access road to Butterfly Nook and 1 Brecks Lane to deter drivers from blocking access.</p>
<p>I am writing to formally object to the proposed installation of double yellow lines on Brecks Lane by the side of the post office. I am very concerned how this will affect both our business and neighbouring businesses.</p> <p>Our business Enhance Aesthetics, is based at this location and both our staff and clients regularly rely on on-street parking. The introduction of double yellow lines would significantly restrict access for clients and impact our day-to-day work. We already experience limited parking availability, and the proposed restrictions would make it extremely difficult for clients, many of whom travel from further afield. Our staff already find it difficult to find a safe and legal parking nearby. If this goes ahead this would lead to staff parking further away, affecting safety especially during the winter months as we work till late.</p>	<p>In acknowledging the concerns raised by local businesses, the waiting restrictions have been reduced in a way which allows for some on street parking, but which still protects the junction and makes it easier for vehicles entering and leaving the post office carpark.</p>

<p>The restrictions will evidently impact local residents, as us staff and clients would be forced to park further down the road outside houses, whereas parking now does not affect residents.</p> <p>We fully understand and support council's effort to manage the traffic flow and safety near a junction, which technically the restrictions could be made shorter without a complete ban. With such restrictions this will have a massive impact on local independent businesses and clients would reconsider coming to us if the parking was difficult.</p>	
<p>I am writing to express my objection to the proposed double yellow lines on Brecks Lane. Wickersley Road, I am concerned about the impact this will have on both our operations and neighbouring businesses.</p> <p>At present, on-street parking plays a crucial role in supporting us. Our premises (as well as other residents on this parade) do not have sufficient private parking for all staff and clients, so the availability of nearby spaces is essential.</p> <p>When we previously raised concerns about parking limitations in relation to a recent planning application, the Council stated that street parking was there to help ease the pressure — removing this would undo that entirely.</p>	<p>The Council understands the issues raised by local businesses but also has a duty of care to motorists and road users. Local residents and Ward Members have campaigned for some time for parking restrictions at this busy junction.</p>
<p>Impact on Staff and Clients:</p> <p>Our team already struggles to find safe and legal parking nearby, especially during busy periods. If these restrictions go ahead, staff will be forced to park further away, affecting safety — particularly in darker winter months. Clients will face even greater difficulty. Many of our visitors travel from Doncaster, Sheffield, Wakefield and Huddersfield, often making special trips to see us. For them, easy access and nearby parking are key factors in choosing to visit. Losing these spaces could realistically result in a loss of clientele not only for us but for other local businesses too.</p> <p>The breaks pub / Sainsbury's was an alternative option until recently when a private firm only allow their customers to park in this area. So this has raised the importance of nearby street parking even more.</p>	<p>In acknowledging the concerns raised, the original waiting restrictions proposed have been reduced in a way which allows for some on-street parking to help visitors and employees, but which still protects the junction and make it easier for vehicles entering and leaving the post office carpark.</p>
<p>Impact on Local Residents:</p> <p>We work hard to maintain good relationships with our neighbours, but parking can be a sensitive issue. With fewer legal spaces available at the top of the road that is not in front of housing, more cars will inevitably park in front of residential properties further down the road or on surrounding streets, creating additional frustration for residents and increasing complaints.</p> <p>Impact on Local Trade:</p> <p>The reality is that small businesses are already facing very tough economic conditions. Measures like this only make it harder for independent traders to survive. Accessible parking is not a luxury – it's a necessity. Clients are less likely to visit if they know parking will be difficult or risky. This is additional pressure all businesses could really do without.</p>	<p>With regards to safety especially during the darker winter months. Brecks Lane is a well-lit road with ample street lighting plus illumination from vehicles and residential buildings. It is not considered to be less safe than any other street lit roads in the Borough.</p>

We understand the Council's responsibility to maintain visibility and safety, particularly near junctions, but this could be achieved without a complete ban. Shortening the proposed section of double yellow lines to cover only the immediate area affecting sight lines would address safety concerns while still supporting local access and our businesses.

We take pride in being part of Rotherham's local economy and contributing to local employment. I urge the Council to reconsider or modify the proposal to avoid unnecessary harm to local businesses and residents alike.

Thank you for taking the time to review my concerns. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this further or attend any meetings where local feedback is being considered.

I am writing to formally object to the proposed installation of double yellow lines on Brecks Lane as outlined in the reference 126/17/TT563a

Firstly, **parking is already extremely limited** in this area. Both **staff and customers** rely heavily on the existing on-street spaces. The introduction of double yellow lines would remove this essential parking and make it increasingly difficult for us to operate effectively. When we previously raised concerns about parking availability, the Council acknowledged that on-street parking helped to **ease pressure** in the area — yet this proposal directly undermines that understanding. Furthermore, with **a new business opening next door**, parking demand will only increase. Removing spaces now will make it far harder for any of us to trade successfully. Times are already difficult enough for small businesses without additional barriers such as parking restrictions that deter customers.

We also worry that this measure will **push vehicles into surrounding residential streets**, where space is already tight. This has previously led to frustration from local residents when our customers have had no option but to park near homes. If parking on Brecks Lane is removed entirely, this problem will inevitably worsen.

It's important to note that many of our customers **travel from outside the local area — including Sheffield, Wakefield, and Huddersfield** — specifically to visit us. These customers need somewhere convenient and legal to park. Without accessible parking, they will simply go elsewhere, putting local businesses at real risk.

In light of the above, I strongly urge the Council to reconsider this proposal. A more balanced approach — such as time-limited parking or resident/business permits — could address any concerns about obstruction without severely damaging local trade and community relations.

Thank you for considering my objection. I would appreciate being kept informed of any updates or consultations regarding this matter.

In acknowledging the concerns raised, the original waiting restrictions proposed have been reduced in a way which allows for some on-street parking to help visitors and employees, but which still protects the junction and makes it easier for vehicles entering and leaving the post office carpark.

The introduction of the waiting restrictions is primarily to protect the junction of Bawtry Road and Brecks Lane. It was felt that introducing time-limited parking or resident/business permits would allow vehicles to continue to park near the junction so would not address the issue.

I refer to your letter dated the 25 October 2025 which I have had sight on via my employer.

I would like to object to the double yellow lines on Brecks Lane. I have worked on this row of shops for over 10 years and have always parked on Brecks Lane, safely and in accordance with the law.

If this goes ahead I and my colleagues will have to park further down Brecks Lane in front of people's houses, which I am sure they will not appreciate. If people park safely and in accordance with the Highway Code there is absolutely no need for double yellow lines. This will only push customers of the Post Office, PN Alarms, Enhance, etc further down the road or even worse then will not frequent our businesses.

I understand that double yellow lines may be needed before the turning into the Post Office but there is no justification for them between then and the commencement of the houses.

As mentioned above, in acknowledging the concerns raised by local businesses, the waiting restrictions have been reduced in a way which allows for some on street parking, but which still protects the junction and makes it easier for vehicles entering and leaving the post office carpark. There is no intention to introduce double yellow lines along Brecks Lane to the commencement of the houses.